

PARAMETRIC STUDY OF NONLINEAR INERTIAL COUPLING EFFECTS IN ASYMMETRIC BASE-ISOLATED STRUCTURES

Majid AMIN AFSHAR

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Emam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran mafshar@eng.ikiu.ac.ir

Sepehr AGHAEI POUR

M.Sc. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Emam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran sepehr_aghaeipour@yahoo.com

Keywords: Structural Dynamics, Asymmetric, Base Isolator, Nonlinear Inertial, Coupling

It is well proved that seismic base isolation systems as passive control tools of structure reduce the earthquake forces. On the other hand, structures are often built in irregular plan where lead to much more damages by earthquake forces. So the present research covers study of dynamic interaction of asymmetric base-isolated structures in new point of view. In this paper, the motion equations are presented in two coordinates, one fixed on the building base (inertial or global coordinate) and the other on the torsional isolation level (local coordinate).

In the conventional approach, the motion equations are calculated on linear form in the inertial coordinate system, whereas in the new approach proposed in this research, motion mechanics analysis in the secondary coordinate system will lead to non-linear equations. Eqs. (1)-(6) describe the motion of the structure and base floors masses in local coordinate by three degrees of freedom for each of them: displacement in the X-direction, displacement in the Y-direction, and torsional rotation of the floor about the vertical axis Z through the center of mass.

$$m_{b}\ddot{u}_{xb}^{b} + (c_{xb} + c_{xs})\dot{u}_{xb}^{b} + (k_{xb} + k_{xs})u_{xb}^{b} - c_{xs}\dot{u}_{xs}^{b} - k_{xs}u_{xs}^{b} = -m_{b}\left(-2\dot{u}_{yb}^{b}\dot{u}_{\partial b} - u_{yb}^{b}\ddot{u}_{\partial b} - u_{xb}^{b}\dot{u}_{\partial b}^{2} + \ddot{u}_{gx}\cos\theta_{b} + \ddot{u}_{gy}\sin\theta_{b}\right)$$
(1)
$$m_{b}r_{b}^{2}\ddot{u}_{\partial b} + (c_{\partial b} + c_{\partial s})\dot{u}_{\partial b} + (k_{\partial Rb} + e^{2}{}_{xb}k_{yb} + k_{\partial Rs} + e^{2}{}_{xs}k_{ys})u_{\partial b} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xs}c_{ys})\dot{u}_{yb}^{b} - e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{ys}^{b} - c_{\partial s}\dot{u}_{\partial s} + (e_{xb}k_{yb} + e_{xs}k_{ys})u_{yb}^{b} - e_{xs}k_{ys}u_{ys}^{b} - (k_{\partial Rs} + e^{2}{}_{xs}k_{ys})u_{\partial s} = 0$$
(2)
$$m_{b}\ddot{u}_{yb}^{b} + (c_{yb} + c_{ys})\dot{u}_{yb}^{b} + (k_{yb} + k_{ys})u_{yb}^{b} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xs}c_{ys})\dot{u}_{\partial b} - c_{ys}\dot{u}_{ys}^{b} - e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{\partial s} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xs}c_{ys})\dot{u}_{\partial b} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xs}c_{ys})\dot{u}_{\partial b} - c_{ys}\dot{u}_{ys}^{b} - e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{\partial s} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{ys})u_{yb}^{b} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xs}c_{ys})\dot{u}_{\partial b} - c_{ys}\dot{u}_{ys}^{b} - e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{\partial s} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{ys})u_{yb}^{b} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xs}c_{ys})\dot{u}_{\partial b} - c_{ys}\dot{u}_{ys}^{b} - e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{\partial s} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{yb}c_{yb} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{yb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{yb} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{yb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{yb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c_{yb} + (e_{xb}c_{yb} + e_{xb}c_{yb})\dot{u}_{b} - (e_{xb}c$$

$$\left(e_{xb}k_{yb} + e_{xs}k_{ys}\right)u_{\theta b} - k_{ys}u_{ys}^{b} - e_{xs}k_{ys}u_{\theta s} = -m_{b}\left(2\dot{u}_{xb}^{b}\dot{u}_{\theta b} + u_{xb}^{b}\ddot{u}_{\theta b} - u_{yb}^{b}\dot{u}_{\theta b}^{2} - \ddot{u}_{gx}\sin\theta_{b} + \ddot{u}_{gy}\cos\theta_{b}\right)$$
(3)

$$m_{s}\ddot{u}_{xs}^{b} + c_{xs}\dot{u}_{xs}^{b} + k_{xs}u_{xs}^{b} - c_{xs}\dot{u}_{xb}^{b} - k_{xs}u_{xb}^{b} = -m_{s}\left(-2\dot{u}_{ys}^{b}\dot{u}_{\theta b} - u_{ys}^{b}\ddot{u}_{\theta b} - u_{xs}^{b}\dot{u}_{\theta b}^{2} + \ddot{u}_{gx}\cos\theta_{b} + \ddot{u}_{gy}\sin\theta_{b}\right)$$
(4)

$$m_{s}r_{s}^{2}\ddot{u}_{\theta s} + c_{\theta s}\dot{u}_{\theta s} + (k_{\theta Rs} + e_{xs}^{2}k_{ys})u_{\theta s} - e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{yb}^{b} - c_{\theta s}\dot{u}_{\theta b} + e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{ys}^{b} - e_{xs}k_{ys}u_{yb}^{b} - (k_{\theta Rs} + e_{xs}^{2}k_{ys})u_{\theta b} + e_{xs}k_{ys}u_{ys}^{b} = 0$$
(5)

$$m_{s}\ddot{u}_{ys}^{b} + c_{ys}\dot{u}_{ys}^{b} + k_{ys}u_{ys}^{b} - c_{ys}\dot{u}_{yb}^{b} - e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{\theta b} + e_{xs}c_{ys}\dot{u}_{\theta s} - k_{ys}u_{yb}^{b} - e_{xs}k_{ys}u_{\theta b} + e_{xs}k_{ys}u_{\theta s} = -m_{s}\left(2\dot{u}_{xs}^{b}\dot{u}_{\theta b}^{2} + u_{xs}^{b}\ddot{u}_{\theta b} - u_{ys}^{b}\dot{u}_{\theta b}^{2} - \ddot{u}_{gx}\sin\theta_{b} + \ddot{u}_{gy}\cos\theta_{b}\right)$$
(6)

Two types of structures are proposed with ratio of torsional-lateral correlated natural frequency on asymmetric natural frequency. Properties of these structures are shown in Table 1.

Type No.	$\Omega_{_{xb}}$	$\Omega_{_{ heta b}}$	$\Omega_{_{yb}}$	$\Omega_{_{\rm xs}}$	$\Omega_{_{\! heta s}}$	$\Omega_{_{ys}}$	e _{xb} /r	e _{xs} /r	$\Omega_{_1}$	$\Omega_{_2}$
1	0.1	0.15	0.1	1	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.863	1.552
2	0.1	0.105	0.1	1	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.500	1.334

Table 1. Properties of three types of structures

Responses of both linear and nonlinear models for the two types of structures under harmonic effects are compared while analyzing time history and frequency. In structure type 1, the responses of linear and nonlinear models are exactly the same. However, some differences are observed between two models in structure type 2 (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Time history for structure type 2 under harmonic force

Then, some non-linear phenomena such as saturation and energy transfer between modes in such structures can be observed. In figure 1, the energy of nonlinear responses in X direction saturates and then transfers to another directions (y and θ). This behavior is called saturation phenomenon and caused by existence of nonlinear inertial coupling terms in Eqs. (1)-(6).

Finally, historical and frequency response peaks of linear and nonlinear models under earthquake excitation are compared with variations of base torsional natural frequency in different directions ($\Omega_{\theta b}$). It can be inferred from the results of analysis, that nonlinear responses can be more critical than linear ones, and design prescriptions should be adapted to the nonlinear model.

REFERENCES

Amin Afshar M and Amini F (2012) Non-linear dynamics of asymmetric structures under 2: 2: 1 resonance, *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 47(7): 823-835

Amini F and Amin Afshar M (2011) Saturation in asymmetric structures under internal resonance, *Acta Mechanica*, 221(3-4): 353-368

Kelly JM and Naeim F (1999) <u>Design of seismic isolated structures: From theory to practice</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Nueva York

Kilar V and Koren D (2009) Seismic behaviour of asymmetric base isolated structures with various distributions of isolators, *Engineering structures*, 31(4): 910-921

Nayfeh A (2000) Nonlinear Interactions: Analytical, Computational, and Experimental Methods, John Wiley and Sons, New York

